

LABOR COMPLIANCE PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT

Format for Approved Program that contracts with Awarding Bodies to provide labor compliance enforcement

Report for the reporting period 07/01/2010 to 06/30/2011
(mm/dd/yyyy) (mm/dd/yyyy)

1. Name of Labor Compliance Program (LCP) : Parsons Brinckerhoff	
2. LCP I.D. Number (assigned by DIR): 2003.00176	3. Date of Initial Approval: 05/12/2003
4. Contact person (include name, title, address, telephone, fax, and e-mail, if available): Sara DeVault, Labor Compliance Officer 2329 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95833 Direct: 916.567.2578 Fax: 916.924.9764 Email: beaches@pbworld.com	
5. List all Awarding Bodies covered by this report as well as any other Awarding Bodies with whom the LCP currently has a contract to provide compliance enforcement. If <i>none</i> , please proceed directly to item 7 and provide all requested information. Then complete the information below, and sign and submit this form to DIR, Office of the Director, Attn: LCP Special Assistant 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10 th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102. Covered: Alum Rock Union Elementary School District; City of Grass Valley; City of Long Beach; City of Yreka; City of Yuba City; Contra Costa Community College District; Contra Costa Water District; County of Orange, John Wayne Airport; County of San Bernardino; East Side Union High School District; Orland Unified School District; Pacific Coast Fish, Wildlife, Wetlands, and Restoration Association; Reclamation District 108; Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency; South Orange County Community College District; The River Exchange Other Awarding Bodies: City of Citrus Heights; Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District; The Nature Conservancy	

LCP-AR3

What suggestions do you have for the Department of Industrial Relations to better assist you with your program in the coming year? (attach additional sheets if necessary): None

SUBMITTED BY:

Kathleen L Smith

Signature

Kathy Smith, Labor Compliance Manager
Name and Title

08/12/2011
Date

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **Alum Rock Union Elementary School District**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Hubbard ES Admin Building	Lease-Lease Back (11/3/09)	Blach Construction	\$3,500,000
District Office Upgrades	Lease-Lease Back (11/3/09)	Blach Construction	\$2,800,000
Linda Vista ES HVAC Improvements	Lease-Lease Back (11/3/09)	Blach Construction	\$3,500,000
Lyndale ES HVAC Improvements	Lease-Lease Back (11/3/09)	Blach Construction	\$3,500,000
San Antonio ES New Construction	Lease-Lease Back (11/3/09)	Blach Construction	\$17,500,000
Total - 5			\$30,800,000

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Hubbard ES Admin Building	Aire Sheet Metal	\$39.95	\$39.95	No	Sheet metal worker underpayment (after increases)
Hubbard ES Admin Building	Preston Pipelines	\$12.75	\$12.75	No	Laborer underpayment
Hubbard ES Admin Building	Underwood & Rosenblum	\$31.53	\$31.53	No	Field surveyor underpayment (after increases)
District Office Upgrades	O.C. McDonald Co.	\$2.20	\$2.20	No	Apprentice underpaid
Linda Vista ES HVAC Improvements	AGC, Inc.	\$51.90	\$51.90	No	Sheet metal worker underpayment (after increases)
Linda Vista ES HVAC Improvements	Western Roofing	\$1,126.70	\$1,126.70	No	Apprentices underpaid due to union apprentice levels vs. DAS
Lyndale ES HVAC Improvements	AGC, Inc.	\$108.06	\$108.06	No	Sheet metal worker underpayment (after increases)

San Antonio ES New Construction	AGC, Inc.	\$54.45	\$54.45	No	Sheet metal worker underpayment (after increases)
San Antonio ES New Construction	AGC, Inc.	\$3,806.12	\$3,806.12	No	Pre-apprentice classification used
San Antonio ES New Construction	Clayton A. Titus	\$77.94	\$77.94	No	Underpayment
San Antonio ES New Construction	Pacific Erectors	\$950.40	\$950.40	No	Apprentice should have been paid journeyman rate
San Antonio ES New Construction	Silicon Valley Glass	\$284.35	\$284.35	No	Underpayment
San Antonio ES New Construction	United Mechanical	\$237.53	\$237.53	No	Sheet metal worker underpayment (after increases)
Total - 13		\$6,783.88	\$6,783.88		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Hubbard ES Admin Building	\$39.95	\$39.95	Resolved independently
Hubbard ES Admin Building	\$12.75	\$12.75	Resolved independently
Hubbard ES Admin Building	\$31.53	\$31.53	Resolved independently
District Office Upgrades	\$2.20	\$2.20	Resolved independently
Linda Vista ES HVAC Improvements	\$51.90	\$51.90	Resolved independently
Linda Vista ES HVAC Improvements	\$1,126.70	\$1,126.70	Resolved independently
Lyndale ES HVAC Improvements	\$108.06	\$108.06	Resolved independently
San Antonio ES New Construction	\$54.45	\$54.45	Resolved independently
San Antonio ES New Construction	\$3,806.12	\$3,806.12	Resolved independently
San Antonio ES New Construction	\$77.94	\$77.94	Resolved independently
San Antonio ES New Construction	\$950.40	\$950.40	Resolved independently
San Antonio ES New Construction	\$284.35	\$284.35	Resolved independently
San Antonio ES New Construction	\$237.53	\$237.53	Resolved independently
Total - 13	\$6,783.88	\$6,783.88	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

Awarding Body: City of Grass Valley

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Alta Hill Water Tanks	12/18/2008	Mountain Cascade	\$2,079,270.00
Total - 1			\$2,079,270.00

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Alta Hill Water Tanks	Hansen Painting	\$31.95	\$31.95	No	Paid lower federal rate
Total - 1		\$31.95	\$31.95		

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Alta Hill Water Tanks	\$31.95	\$31.95	Resolved independently
Total - 1	\$31.95	\$31.95	

[illegible]

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

[illegible]

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: City of Yreka

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Storm Drain Detention Basins	02/15/2010	Bellet Construction	\$788,841.58
Barham Basin Storm Drain Outfall	05/13/2010	N & T Digmore	\$948,436.00
Total - 2			\$1,737,277.58

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Storm Drain Detention Basins	Bellet Construction	\$26.08	\$26.08	No	Paid lower federal rate
Storm Drain Detention Basins	Bellet Construction	\$0.65	\$0.65	No	Laborer underpayment
Storm Drain Detention Basins	Bowers Fencing	\$51.76	\$51.76	No	Overtime
Storm Drain Detention Basins	CGI Technical Services	\$15.00	\$15.00	No	Underpayment (after increases)
Barham Basin Storm Drain Outfall	N & T Digmore	\$1,074.75	\$1,074.75	No	Operators and Teamsters underpayment
Total - 5		\$1,168.24	\$1,168.24		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Storm Drain Detention Basins	\$26.08	\$26.08	Resolved independently
Storm Drain Detention Basins	\$0.65	\$0.65	Resolved independently
Storm Drain Detention Basins	\$51.76	\$51.76	Resolved independently

Storm Drain Detention Basins	\$15.00	\$15.00	Resolved independently
Barham Basin Storm Drain Outfall	\$1,074.75	\$1,074.75	Resolved independently
Total - 5	\$1,168.24	\$1,168.24	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: City of Yuba City

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Walton Water Transmission Line	06/13/09	Marques Pipelines	\$4,112,821
Walton 14" Distribution Line	08/10/09	Lamon Construction	\$2,489,895
Sanborn Storage and Pumping Plant	12/01/09	Proven Management	\$5,000,000
Walton Water Surface Restoration	7/21/10	Teichert Construction	\$1,315,430.85
Butte House Rd./Harter Parkway Traffic Signal	12/31/10	Sierra National Construction	\$288,808
Total - 5			\$13,206,955.85

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Sanborn Storage and Pumping Plant	Construction Specialties	\$57.28	\$57.28	No	Taper underpayment
Sanborn Storage and Pumping Plant	Sac Valley Electric	\$48.66	\$48.66	No	Apprentice should have been paid as journeyman
Sanborn Storage and Pumping Plant	RB Spencer	\$3.30	\$3.30	No	Travel pay for apprentice
Total - 3		\$109.24	\$109.24		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Sanborn Storage and Pumping Plant	\$57.28	\$57.28	Resolved independently
Sanborn Storage and Pumping Plant	\$48.66	\$48.66	Resolved independently

Sanborn Storage and Pumping Plant	\$3.30	\$3.30	Resolved independently
Total - 3	\$109.24	\$109.24	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **Contra Costa Community College District**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Art Bldg. Remodel at Los Medanos College	4/17/09	Rudolph Commercial Interiors	\$1,891,974
Nursing & EMT Wing Remodel at LMC	10/4/10	ZCON Builders	\$3,787,900
D-611 Student Services, Culinary Arts, Food Services and Commons	12/10/10	Flintco Pacific	\$42,777,000
Total - 3			\$48,456,874

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Art Bldg. Remodel at Los Medanos College	Rudolph Commercial Interiors	\$2.80	\$2.80	No	Plumber underpayment
Art Bldg. Remodel at Los Medanos College	Specialty Applications	\$171.52	\$171.52	No	Shade Installer misclassification
Nursing & EMT Wing Remodel at LMC	Johnson Western Gunite	\$132.00	\$132.00	No	Special single shift premium not paid
Total - 3		\$306.32	\$306.32		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Art Bldg. Remodel at Los Medanos College	\$2.80	\$2.80	Resolved independently
Art Bldg. Remodel at Los Medanos College	\$171.52	\$171.52	Resolved independently

Nursing & EMT Wing Remodel at LMC	\$132.00	\$132.00	Resolved independently
Total - 3	\$306.32	\$306.32	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **Contra Costa Water District**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Victoria Canal Intake and Pump Station	4/15/08	Proven Management, Inc.	\$30,395,394.00
Total - 1			\$30,395,394.00

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Victoria Canal Intake and Pump Station	Hansen Painting	\$353.17	\$353.17	No	Painter underpayment (after increases)
Victoria Canal Intake and Pump Station	Scott Electric	\$20.00	\$20.00	No	Electrician underpayment
Total - 2		\$373.17	\$373.17		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Victoria Canal Intake and Pump Station	\$353.17	\$353.17	Resolved independently
Victoria Canal Intake and Pump Station	\$20.00	\$20.00	Resolved independently
Total - 2	\$373.17	\$373.17	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **County of Orange**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
John Wayne Airport – Baggage Handling System	3/23/09	Jervis B. Webb	\$23,000,000
John Wayne Airport – Central Utility Plant	12/23/08	West Coast Air	\$32,000,000
John Wayne Airport - Common Use Passenger Processing System (CUPPS)	12/1/09	Snyder Langston	\$23,728,786
John Wayne Airport - Parking Access and Revenue Control System (PARCS)	2/20/10	Helix Electric	\$7,000,000
Total - 4			\$85,728,786

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
John Wayne Airport – Central Utility Plant	Davidson Reinforcing	\$193.05	\$193.05	No	Underpayment
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	A & H Communications	\$1.68	\$1.68	No	Underpayment
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	Superior Wall	\$53.22	\$53.22	No	Underpayment
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	Control Air	\$214.69	\$214.69	No	Underpayment
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	Jayrae, Inc.	\$24.75	\$24.75		Underpayment
Total - 5		\$487.39	\$487.39		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
--------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------

John Wayne Airport – Central Utility Plant	\$193.05	\$193.05	Resolved independently
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	\$1.68	\$1.68	Resolved independently
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	\$53.22	\$53.22	Resolved independently
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	\$214.69	\$214.69	Resolved independently
John Wayne Airport - CUPPS	\$24.75	\$24.75	Resolved independently
Total - 5	\$487.39	\$487.39	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **County of San Bernardino**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
San Bernardino Courthouse	5/16/2007	Stronghold Engineering, Inc.	\$17,400,000.00
Total - 1			\$17,400,000.00

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
San Bernardino Courthouse	CK Arts, Inc.	\$146.20	\$146.20	No	Laborer and Plaster Tender underpayment
San Bernardino Courthouse	RCB & Sons	\$1,741.46	\$1,741.46	No	Laborer underpayment
San Bernardino Courthouse	AMCO	\$93.38	\$93.38	No	Overtime
Total - 3		\$1,981.04	\$1,981.04		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
San Bernardino Courthouse	\$146.20	\$146.20	Resolved independently
San Bernardino Courthouse	\$1,741.46	\$1,741.46	Resolved independently
San Bernardino Courthouse	\$93.38	\$93.38	Resolved independently
Total - 3	\$1,981.04	\$1,981.04	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total

N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **East Side Union High School District**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
James Lick High School - Child Development Center	12/23/09	Calstate Construction	\$2,815,000
Overfelt High School – Softball Field Improvements	2/12/10	Valley Crest Landscape	\$1,049,092
Scoreboards at Independence, James Lick, and Piedmont Hills High School	3/12/10	Robert A. Bothman	\$232,220
James Lick High School – Fire Service Center	4/14/10	PARC Services	\$40,260
James Lick High School – Building 500 Demo	4/14/10	PARC Services	\$96,482
Foothill High School – Buildings B, C & E Mod.	3/29/10	Beals Martin	\$1,561,336
Santa Teresa High School – New Multi Purpose Bldg. Sitework Increment I	5/1/10	JJ Albanese	\$261,685
Evergreen High School – PE Stations	4/19/10	Ralph Larsen & Son	\$828,000
Yerba Buena High School – Buildings 700 & 100 Modernization	5/14/10	Beals Martin	\$4,219,797
Total - 9			\$11,103,872

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
James Lick High School – Child Development Center	HA Bowen	\$63.00	\$63.00	No	Electrician underpayment
James Lick High School – Child Development Center	Reinhardt Roofing	\$384.70	\$384.70	No	Overtime
Overfelt High School – Softball Field Improvements	Valley Crest Landscape	\$527.30	\$527.30	No	Underpayment (after increases)

James Lick High School – Building 500 Demo	Cody Electric	\$92.56	\$92.56	No	Electrician underpayment
Foothill High School – Buildings B, C & E Mod.	Tradestaff	\$435.12	\$435.12	No	Apprentice should have been paid as journeyman
Foothill High School – Buildings B, C & E Mod.	Calhoun Brothers	\$59.35	\$59.35	No	OE underpayment
Santa Teresa High School – New Multi Purpose Bldg. Sitework Increment I	Bayview Electric	\$3.04	\$3.04	No	Underpayment
Evergreen High School – PE Stations	Smallman Construction	\$547.00	\$547.00	No	Electrician underpayment
Evergreen High School – PE Stations	Custom Painting	\$179.50	\$179.50	No	Underpayment (after increases)
Yerba Buena High School – Buildings 700 & 100 Modernization	Monarch Mechanical	\$344.41	\$344.41	No	Sheet Metal underpayment
Yerba Buena High School – Buildings 700 & 100 Modernization	Custom Painting	\$68.00	\$68.00	No	Underpayment (after increases)
Yerba Buena High School – Buildings 700 & 100 Modernization	Hayward Glass	\$467.37	\$467.37	No	Apprentices should have been paid as journeymen
Total - 12		\$3,171.35	\$3,171.35		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
James Lick High School – Child Development Center	\$63.00	\$63.00	Resolved independently
James Lick High School – Child Development Center	\$384.70	\$384.70	Resolved independently
Overfelt High School – Softball Field Improvements	\$527.30	\$527.30	Resolved independently
James Lick High School – Building 500 Demo	\$92.56	\$92.56	Resolved independently
Foothill High School – Buildings B, C & E Mod.	\$435.12	\$435.12	Resolved independently
Foothill High School – Buildings B,	\$59.35	\$59.35	Resolved independently

C & E Mod.			
Santa Teresa High School – New Multi Purpose Bldg. Sitework Increment I	\$3.04	\$3.04	Resolved independently
Evergreen High School – PE Stations	\$547.00	\$547.00	Resolved independently
Evergreen High School – PE Stations	\$179.50	\$179.50	Resolved independently
Yerba Buena High School – Buildings 700 & 100 Modernization	\$344.41	\$344.41	Resolved independently
Yerba Buena High School – Buildings 700 & 100 Modernization	\$68.00	\$68.00	Resolved independently
Yerba Buena High School – Buildings 700 & 100 Modernization	\$467.37	\$467.37	Resolved independently
Total -	\$3,171.35	\$3,171.35	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

[illegible]

Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

Awarding Body: Pacific Coast Fish, Wildlife, and Wetlands Restoration Association

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Redwood Creek Erosion Control	4/1/2008	McCullough Construction, Inc.	\$1,000,000
Total - 1			\$1,000,000

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Redwood Creek Erosion Control	McCullough Construction, Inc.	\$81.61	\$81.61	No	Underpayment of Journeymen
Total - 1		\$81.61	\$81.61		

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Redwood Creek Erosion Control	\$81.61	\$81.61	Resolved independently
Total - 1	\$81.61	\$81.61	

[illegible]

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **Reclamation District 108**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Monitoring and Production Wells	1/29/10	Vannucci Technologies	\$1,100,000
Total - 1			\$1,100,000

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total - 0		N/A	N/A		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total - 0	N/A	N/A	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A _____

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Private Irrigation Relocation - Reaches 1-4	11/6/08	McGuire and Hester	\$1,616,997
Total - 1			\$1,866,474

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total - 0		N/A	N/A		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total - 0	N/A	N/A	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A

6. LC § 1771.5 enforcement activities (provide all information requested, attaching as many sheets as necessary, and *please complete separate forms for each Awarding Body covered in this report*).

Awarding Body: **South Orange County Community College District**

A. List projects handled by LCP within the past 12 months.

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Saddleback College – Communication Arts Building	5/22/09	TBC Contractors	\$619,000
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	2/1/10	Bayley Construction	\$12,299,000
Saddleback College – Fine Arts Repairs	5/1/10	DLS Builders	\$500,000
Saddleback College – IT Server Room	7/21/10	Bob Parrett Construction	\$300,000
Saddleback College – Pool Deck	8/18/10	Condor, Inc.	\$1,000,000
Advanced Technology Education Park (ATEP) – Demo Phase I	8/26/10	US Demolition	\$1,275,166
ATEP – Demo Phase II	8/26/10	CST Environmental	\$600,000
Irvine Valley College – Life Science Bldg.	2/1/11	EDGE Development	\$11,387,287
ATEP – Ditch Repair and Maintenance	2/4/11	Moalej Builders	\$44,000
Saddleback College – East Bridge Replacement	5/20/11	Griffith Company	\$576,386
Total - 10			\$28,600,839

B. Summary of all wages and penalties assessed and/or recovered.

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	Emerald Landscaping	\$207.99	\$207.99	No	Underpayment
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	Bayley Construction	\$149.20	\$149.20	No	Underpayment

Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	Dugan Engineering	\$287.10	\$287.10	No	Underpayment
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	Pyro-Comm	\$2.16	\$2.16	No	Underpayment
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	SC Tile	\$38.55	\$38.55	No	Underpayment
Advanced Technology Education Park (ATEP) – Demo Phase I	Matrix Environmental	\$2,533.44	\$2,533.44	No	Overtime
Total - 6		\$3,218.44	\$3,218.44		

C. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture not requested from the Labor Commissioner, please explain below.

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	\$207.99	\$207.99	Resolved independently
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	\$149.20	\$149.20	Resolved independently
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	\$287.10	\$287.10	Resolved independently
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	\$2.16	\$2.16	Resolved independently
Saddleback College – James B. Utt Memorial Learning Resource Center Renovations	\$38.55	\$38.55	Resolved independently
Advanced Technology Education Park (ATEP) – Demo Phase I	\$2,533.44	\$2,533.44	Resolved independently
Total - 6	\$3,218.44	\$3,218.44	

D. For any amount identified in item B for which approval of forfeiture was requested from the Labor Commissioner, please provide the following:

Project Name	Amount Assessed					Amount Recovered				
	LC §1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total	LC § 1776(g)	LC § 1775	LC § 1813	Wages	Total
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: N/A

Awarding Body: **The River Exchange**

Project Name	Bid Advertisement Date	Prime Contractor	Contract Amount
Sacramento Headwaters Restoration & Trail	4/7/10	Marrone Construction	\$144,000
Total - 1			\$144,000

Project Name	Affected Contractor (who directly employed the worker)	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Approval of Forfeiture Requested from Labor Commissioner?	Description of Violation
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total - 0		N/A	N/A		

Project Name	Amount Assessed	Amount Recovered	Explanation
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total - 0	N/A	N/A	

[illegible]

E. Identify cases that are or were the subject of LC § 1742 proceedings.

Project Name	Contractor	Nature of Violation	ODL Case #	Current Status
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

F. Did you refer any contractor to the Labor Commissioner for debarment per LC § 1777.1?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A

G. Did you refer any apprenticeship violation to the Division of Apprenticeship Standards (DAS)?

Please check one: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, identify affected contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) and date(s) of referral: _____ N/A

LCP-AR3

7. On a separate sheet, provide a certificate of compliance with conflict of interest disclosure requirements by employees and consultants who participate in making governmental decisions (as defined under 2 CCR § 18701) along with the names of LCP personnel who are filing disclosure statements and the agencies with which those statements are being filed.

See separate Certificate of Compliance

8. Please update the following information per 8 CCR § 16426(a)(2), (3) and (5) disclosure requirement.

A. Identify the geographical areas in which the program intends to operate and the identity of any public agencies not previously identified in this report with which the program intends to contract to provide labor compliance enforcement.

Geographical areas include: Los Angeles County, Orange County, San Diego County and various counties throughout northern California. For the reporting period 07/01/2011 – 06/30/2012 PB's LCP report will include work performed for San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) and Napa County Regional Parks and Open Space District.

B. State whether the entity shares personnel, management, ownership or other close affiliation with any of the following: (1) any contractor or subcontractor that within the preceding five years has been awarded a public works contract within the geographical area in which the program operates or intends to operate or with any public agency with which the program has contracted or intends to contract to provide labor compliance enforcement; (2) any person or entity who has been the surety on such a contract; (3) any joint labor-management committee established pursuant to the Federal Labor Management Cooperation Act of 1978 (section 175a of Title 29 of the United States Code); or (4) any person or entity who has represented workers employed in the same or similar classifications as those employed for such a contract and who has been engaged in (i) an organizational campaign under the National Labor Relations Act with contractors competing for such contracts or (ii) a jurisdictional dispute with another collective bargaining representative of workers utilized for such contracts.

For each affiliation, please provide the name, address, telephone number, and principal contact person for the person or entity; please identify shared personnel, management, and ownership; and if applicable, please provide a short description of the nature and extent of any other close affiliation:

To the best of our knowledge, no such affiliation exists.

C. Identify the attorney or law firm available to provide legal support for the LCP, and whether the persons or firms providing that support also represent any contractor, subcontractor, surety, or worker representative referred to in the preceding item.

Attorney/Law Firm Name (include address, contact person, and telephone number)

Best, Best, and Krieger, LLP, Attorney: Tyree Dorward, 655 W. Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101.

No persons or firms were identified in 8(B); therefore, this question is not applicable.



2329 Gateway Oaks Drive
Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95833
Main: 916-567-2500
Fax: 916-924-9 764

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Date: August 12, 2011

To: Department of Industrial Relations
Office of the Director
Attn: LCP Special Assistant
455 Golden Gate Avenue, 10th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102.

As the representative for the approved Labor Compliance Program (Labor Compliance Program Identification Number: 2003.00176), I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that PB Americas, Inc. has complied with conflict of interest disclosure requirements as defined under 2 CCR § 18701. Employees and consultants who participate in making governmental decisions have filed the appropriate disclosure statements.

PB Americas, Inc. personnel who have filed disclosure statements include:

Kathleen L. Smith

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Kathleen L. Smith'.

Kathleen L. Smith, Labor Compliance Manger

08/12/2011

Date